

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/657,595	Applicant(s) MAHANY ET AL.	
	Examiner TAMMARA R. PEYTON	Art Unit 2182	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TAMMARA R. PEYTON. (3) ____.

(2) Shawn Peterson (44,286). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1/13 and 1/15/09.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 30-92.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,374,311 and the Mobitex System reference.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative and Examiner discussed the case at length on 1/13 and agreed to the changes to the claims proposed on 1/15/09. Further, a Terminal Disclaimer was filed to overcome possible Double Patenting rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tammara Peyton/
Primary Examiner, 2182